DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY EENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY BOURCES METHODS EXEMPTION 3 D 2 B KAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT DATE 2007

SECTION

hoduient 40 ec 53

CAMLET CASE

The CAMLET case was first developed in 1948 with the objective of obtaining intelligence on the SED. (CAMLET - editor of SPDDTelegraf.) The operation was handled as a regular formal case with Field Project Outlines until 1950, with operational contact maintained in Berlin by]. In July 1951, and informal contact in Frankfurt by I advised HQ that he would continue to maintain informal contact with CANLET. He stated that CanLET left much to be desired as a source of specific secret intelligence but was very useful in keeping [] informed on general political trends in Bonn and Berlin. In Aug. '51, L Awrote that BOB's business relationship with him was just about over. BOB was dis-satisfied because CamLET had failed to produce operational leads; furthermore, it had been discovered that CIC, by recruiting the man in charge of CAMLET's East Zone coverage, had been getting the best of the political reports. BOB wished to know whether the customers wished to continue receiving the kind of reports that had been coming in. A check with customers, via REports, indiwated that they didl (We have been getting from 12 -25 reports a year from CAMLET; most of them have been disseminated. Two of the 153 reports were considered "very valuable" by ORR.)

In 1950, PP started to use the facilities of CANLET's paper, but this operation has always been divorced from _] s contact. In Nov. '52, _] advised that the "intermittent contact" was maintained for the sole purpose of eliciting views on topical issues affecting US policy re Eastern Germany, especially insofar as conflicting US and SPB interests might be revealed. _] then branched out a bit, by asking CANLET to help in the recruitment of a _] source in an important East Berlin target installation.

In the early summer of 1953, the CAMLET case, for reasons not ascertainable by the field case officer, sudienly became much more active. More reports were passed by CAMLET, and his attitude on disclosing operational details and eventually turning sources over to us changed. CAMLET stated that he wanted the material collected by his Ostbuern as a by-product of journalism made available to an agency able to evaluate it. L. I then began to analyze the reports carefully from an operational viewpoint; this enabled him to identify several sources. Next he "got CAMLET to the point of suggesting" direct dealings with his Ostbuero chief, CAMLET 2. I indicated that he viewed CAMLET 2 with strong reservations, personally and professionally. But felt that for the purpose of discussing DDR matters, CAMLET was too second-hand. L. I was aware that CAMLET 2 had been, and might still be, a CIC source.

L I reached an understanding with the CAMLETS that any of thir subsources who might not produce info that could be used in the newspaper would be turned over to us if operationally feasible. Results:

Pullach advised that CAMLET 2 was a Zipperite, and that he had produced political intelligence from "Telegraf IS net" for ZIPPER _ _ _ theretpon interrogated CAMLET 2, as he did not wish to cross lines with ZIPPER. CAMLET 2 denied ZIPER connections (nothing was said to CAMLET 1 by _ _). _ _] was not convinced, but detailed the meeting to Pullach. The CAMLET 2 version also got back to ZIPPER HQ, where the erroneous impression was developed that _ _ _ jwas trying to blackmail C-2. Pullach (29 Sept) requested that _ _ _ _ mot discuss the question further with C-2, and advised that _ _ _ _ would discuss the case with _ _ _ _ lat the first opportunity. No info has been received on the problem prior to IN 38947. Geyer ref - ?? (2 **epril being frams) also.